Case 3:16-cr-00251-WHA Document 7 Filed 07/06/16 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 16-251-WHA
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Lamar Johnson Defendant.	JUL 6 EL
	NORTHERN U.S. DISTON
Speedy Trial Act from July 6, 2016 to H	, 2016, the Court excludes time (in fer the upust 2, 2016 and finds that the ends of justice surved lie and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prose or law, that it is unreasonable to expect a	the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact dequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	y the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, iligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
-	easonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	easonably deny the defendant the reasonable time g into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 7-6-16	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney